Preconditioned normal equations for solving discretised partial differential equations

L. Lazzarino, Y. Nakatsukasa, Umberto Zerbinati\*

\*Mathematical Institute – University of Oxford

29th International Conference on Domain Decomposition Methods, 25th June 2025

Oxford Mathematics



Mathematical Institute



$$\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{b}, \qquad \underline{\underline{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad \underline{x}, \underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

 $A^T \neq A$ 

In order to solve the system, we can consider the normal equation, i.e.

$$B \coloneqq \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{x}} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{b}}$$

SIMAX Vol. 13, Iss. 3, 1992 (N. M. Nachtigal, S. C. Reddy, L. N. Trefethen),

L. N. Trefethen and D. Bau, III, Numerical Linear Algebra, 1997, *SIAM*.



$$\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{b}, \qquad \underline{\underline{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad \underline{x}, \underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

 $A^T \neq A$ 

In order to solve the system, we can consider the normal equation, i.e.

$$B := \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{b}}$$

How to **quickly** access 
$$\underline{A}^T$$
 and  $\underline{B}$ ?

SIMAX Vol. 13, Iss. 3, 1992 (N. M. Nachtigal, S. C. Reddy, L. N. Trefethen),

L. N. Trefethen and D. Bau, III, Numerical Linear Algebra, 1997, *SIAM*.



$$\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{b}, \qquad \underline{\underline{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad \underline{x}, \underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

 $A^T \neq A$ 

In order to solve the system, we can consider the normal equation, i.e.

$$B \coloneqq \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{b}}$$

How to **quickly** access 
$$\underline{\underline{A}}^{T}$$
 and  $\underline{\underline{B}}$ ?

SIMAX Vol. 13, Iss. 3, 1992 (N. M. Nachtigal, S. C. Reddy, L. N. Trefethen),

L. N. Trefethen and D. Bau, III, Numerical Linear Algebra, 1997, *SIAM*.

Unfortunately the condition number of  $\underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}}$  is the square of the condition number of  $\underline{\underline{A}}$ .

Oxford Mathematics



$$\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{b}, \qquad \underline{\underline{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad \underline{x}, \underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

 $A^T \neq A$ 

In order to solve the system, we can consider the normal equation, i.e.

$$B \coloneqq \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{b}}$$

How to **quickly** access  $\underline{\underline{A}}^T$  and  $\underline{\underline{B}}$ ?

SIMAX Vol. 13, Iss. 3, 1992 (N. M. Nachtigal, S. C. Reddy, L. N. Trefethen),

L. N. Trefethen and D. Bau, III, Numerical Linear Algebra, 1997, *SIAM*.

- Unfortunately the condition number of  $\underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}}$  is the square of the condition number of  $\underline{\underline{A}}$ .
- We now have a symmetric positive definite system, that can be solved using CG (CGNE).

#### HOW CAN WE PRECONDITION THE NORMAL EQUATIONS?



Good preconditioners - Common Definition

 $\underline{\underline{P}}$  is a good preconditioner if  $\underline{\underline{P}}^{-1}\underline{\underline{A}}$  has clustered eigenvalues.





## HOW CAN WE PRECONDITION THE NORMAL EQUATIONS?



Good preconditioners – Common Definition

 $\underline{\underline{P}}$  is a good preconditioner if  $\underline{\underline{P}}^{-1}\underline{\underline{A}}$  has clustered eigenvalues.

Unfortunately given a good preconditioner  $\underline{\underline{P}}$  for  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  we might not have good preconditioner  $\underline{\underline{G}} := \underline{\underline{P}}^T \underline{\underline{P}}$  for  $\underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}}$ .





Good preconditioners – Common Definition

 $\underline{\underline{P}}$  is a good preconditioner if  $\underline{\underline{P}}^{-1}\underline{\underline{A}}$  has clustered eigenvalues.

Unfortunately given a good preconditioner  $\underline{\underline{P}}$  for  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  we might not have good preconditioner  $\underline{\underline{G}} := \underline{\underline{P}}^T \underline{\underline{P}}$  for  $\underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}}$ .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad P = \begin{bmatrix} & & b_0 \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$





Good preconditioners – Common Definition

 $\underline{\underline{P}}$  is a good preconditioner if  $\underline{\underline{P}}^{-1}\underline{\underline{A}}$  has clustered eigenvalues.

Unfortunately given a good preconditioner  $\underline{\underline{P}}$  for  $\underline{\underline{A}}$  we might not have good preconditioner  $\underline{\underline{G}} := \underline{\underline{P}}^T \underline{\underline{P}}$  for  $\underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}}$ .

$$P^{-1}A = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & & \cdot & \\ 1 & & \end{bmatrix}, \qquad G^{-1}B = \begin{bmatrix} (b_0/b_{n-1})^2 & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & (b_{n-1}/b_0)^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$



OXFORD Mathematical

*SIREV* Vol. 64, Iss. 3, 2022 (A. Wathen), *QJRMS* Vol. 64, Iss. 114, 2018 (S. Gratton, Et Al.).

Gratton-Gürol-Simon-Toint

If the matrix P is such that 
$$||I - AP^{-1}||_2 \le \sqrt{2} - 1 - \delta$$
, then  

$$\Lambda(G^{-1}B) \subset (\sqrt{2}\delta + \delta^2, 2 - \sqrt{2}\delta - \delta^2).$$

SIREV Vol. 64, Iss. 3, 2022 (A. Wathen), QJRMS Vol. 64, Iss. 114, 2018 (S. Gratton, Et Al.).

#### Gratton-Gürol-Simon-Toint

If the matrix 
$$P$$
 is such that  $\|I - AP^{-1}\|_2 \le \sqrt{2} - 1 - \delta$ , then  
 $\Lambda(G^{-1}B) \subset (\sqrt{2}\delta + \delta^2, 2 - \sqrt{2}\delta - \delta^2).$ 

We consider the matrix  $T := I - AP^{-1}$ , and expand  $G^{-1}B$  as

$$G^{-1}B = P^{-1}P^{-T}A^{T}A \sim P^{-T}A^{T}AP^{-1} = I - T - T^{T} + T^{T}T.$$

Since  $\Lambda(G^{-1}B) \subset [-\|G^{-1}B\|_2, \|G^{-1}B\|_2]$ , we can easily see that

 $-1 - 2\|T\|_2 - \|T\|_2^2 \le \lambda \le 1 + 2\|T\|_2 + \|T\|_2^2.$ 

Substituing  $\|I - AP^{-1}\|_2 \le \sqrt{2} - 1 - \delta$  we obtained the desired result.





We would like to give a different intuition of good preconditioners for normal equations. To this aim we consider the previously observed similarity,

$$G^{-1}B = P^{-1}P^{-T}A^{T}A \sim P^{-T}A^{T}AP^{-1} = (AP^{-1})^{T}(AP^{-1})$$

Hence, the closer the matrix  $AP^{-1}$  is to an orthogonal matrix, the closer  $G^{-1}B$  is to the identity matrix.



We would like to give a different intuition of good preconditioners for normal equations. To this aim we consider the previously observed similarity,

$$G^{-1}B = P^{-1}P^{-T}A^{T}A \sim P^{-T}A^{T}AP^{-1} = (AP^{-1})^{T}(AP^{-1})$$

Hence, the closer the matrix  $AP^{-1}$  is to an orthogonal matrix, the closer  $G^{-1}B$  is to the identity matrix.

#### **Cross preconditioning**

We say that the preconditioner P is a good **left** preconditioner for the normal equations if it is a good **right** preconditioner for  $\underline{A}$ , in the sense that  $\underline{AP}^{-1}$  has **clustered singular values**.



We consider the classical advection-diffusion ODE in one dimension, i.e.

 $-\nu\ddot{u}+\beta\dot{u}=f \text{ in } (a,b) \subset \mathbb{R},$  $u(a)=0, \ u(b)=1, \ \nu,\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$  R. J. LeVeque, Finite Difference Methods for Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations, 2007, *SIAM*.



We consider the classical advection-diffusion ODE in one dimension, i.e.

 $-\nu \ddot{u} + \beta \dot{u} = f \text{ in } (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R},$  $u(a) = 0, \ u(b) = 1, \ \nu, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$ 

For the moment we will consider neither diffusion nor advection-dominated regimes, i.e.  $\nu \approx \beta$ , and discretisation over an equi-spaced mesh of step-size *h*. Such a discretisation results in the matrix

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \mathsf{tridiag} \left( -\frac{\nu}{h^2} - \frac{\beta}{2h}, \frac{2\nu}{h^2}, -\frac{\nu}{h^2} + \frac{\beta}{2h} \right)$$

R. J. LeVeque, Finite Difference Methods for Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations, 2007 ,*SIAM*.



We consider the classical advection-diffusion ODE in one dimension, i.e.

 $\begin{aligned} &-\nu\ddot{u}+\beta\dot{u}=f \text{ in } (a,b)\subset\mathbb{R},\\ &u(a)=0,\ u(b)=1,\ \nu,\beta\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq0}. \end{aligned}$ 

For the moment we will consider neither diffusion nor advection-dominated regimes, i.e.  $\nu \approx \beta$ , and discretisation over an equi-spaced mesh of step-size *h*. Such a discretisation results in the matrix

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = {
m tridiag} \left( -rac{
u}{h^2} - rac{eta}{2h}, rac{2
u}{h^2}, -rac{
u}{h^2} + rac{eta}{2h} 
ight)$$

R. J. LeVeque, Finite Difference Methods for Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations, 2007 ,*SIAM*.

п	QR	RQ	$Q(A^T A)^{1/2}$	$(AA^T)^{1/2}Q$
10	2	12	2	4
100	2	-	2	6
1000	2	-	2	7

Table: Comparison of the number of iterations for different preconditioners for the left preconditioned normal equation. The CGNE method was terminated when the absolute residual was less than  $10^{-12}$ . If the method did not converge in 1000 iterations, we marked the number of iterations with a dash.



We consider the classical advection-diffusion PDE in two dimensions, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}u := -\nu \Delta u + \underline{\beta} \cdot \nabla u = f \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d,$$
  
$$u = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \text{ with } \nu \ll \|\beta\|, \ \nabla \cdot \beta = 0.$$

H. Elman, D. Silvester, A. Wathen, Finite Elements and Fast Iterative Solvers, 2005, *Oxford University Press* 



We consider the classical advection-diffusion PDE in two dimensions, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}u := -\nu \Delta u + \underline{\beta} \cdot \nabla u = f \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d,$$
  
$$u = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \text{ with } \nu \ll \|\beta\|, \ \nabla \cdot \beta = 0.$$

H. Elman, D. Silvester, A. Wathen, Finite Elements and Fast Iterative Solvers, 2005, *Oxford University Press* 

#### **Finite Element Discretisation**

Fix a discrete space  $V_h \subset H^1_0(\Omega)$  and look for  $u_h \in V_h$  such that

 $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}u_h, v_h) = \nu(\nabla u_h, \nabla v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\beta \cdot \nabla u_h, v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (f, v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} \text{ for any } v_h \in V_h.$ 

Oxford Mathematics



We now need to understand what are the normal equations associated with the linear system,

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$
, with  $A_{ij} = (\hat{\mathcal{L}} \varphi_i, \varphi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}$  and  $b_j = (f, \varphi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 



We now need to understand what are the normal equations associated with the linear system,

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$
, with  $A_{ij} = (\hat{\mathcal{L}} \varphi_i, \varphi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}$  and  $b_j = (f, \varphi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ .

The first thing we need to understand is what is  $\underline{\underline{A}}^{T}$ , in fact  $\underline{\underline{A}}^{T}$  is neither **Hilbert adjoint** of *A* nor the **Banach adjoint** seen as the operator  $A: V_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \to H^{-1}(\Omega) \subset V'_h$ .



We now need to understand what are the normal equations associated with the linear system,

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$
, with  $A_{ij} = (\hat{\mathcal{L}} \varphi_i, \varphi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}$  and  $b_j = (f, \varphi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ .

The first thing we need to understand is what is  $\underline{\underline{A}}^{T}$ , in fact  $\underline{\underline{A}}^{T}$  is neither **Hilbert adjoint** of *A* nor the **Banach adjoint** seen as the operator  $A: V_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \to H^{-1}(\Omega) \subset V'_h$ .

In fact,  $A^T$  is an operator itself of the form  $A^T : V_h \subset H^1_0(\Omega) \to H^{-1}(\Omega) \subset V'_h$  which corresponds to the discretisation of the **Hilbert adjoint** of  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.

$$\mathsf{A}_{ij}^T = \mathsf{A}_{ji} = (\hat{\mathcal{L}}\varphi_j, \varphi_i)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (\varphi_j, \hat{\mathcal{L}}^*\varphi_i)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (\hat{\mathcal{L}}^*\varphi_i, \varphi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)},$$



If we consider the classical normal equations, i.e. 
$$\underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{b}}$$
.

## **Primal Dual Error**

We notice that there is a primal dual error in the classical formulation of the normal equations.

$$V_h \subset H^1_0(\Omega) \stackrel{A}{\longrightarrow} H^{-1} \subset V'_h \qquad \qquad V_h \subset H^1_0(\Omega) \stackrel{A^T}{\longrightarrow} H^{-1} \subset V'_h$$



If we consider the classical normal equations, i.e. 
$$\underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T \underline{\underline{b}}$$
.

## **Primal Dual Error**

We notice that there is a primal dual error in the classical formulation of the normal equations.

$$V_h \subset H^1_0(\Omega) \stackrel{A}{\longrightarrow} H^{-1} \subset V'_h \qquad \qquad V_h \subset H^1_0(\Omega) \stackrel{A^T}{\longrightarrow} H^{-1} \subset V'_h$$

To make sense of the normal equations we need to consider a Riesz map  $T: V'_h \to V_h$ .

$$V_h \subset H^1_0(\Omega) \xrightarrow{A} H^{-1} \subset V'_h \xrightarrow{T} V_h \subset H^1_0(\Omega) \xrightarrow{A^T} H^{-1} \subset V'_h$$



The Riesz map gives rise to a discrete operator  $T: V'_h \to V_h$ , which is **symmetric and positive definite**. Therefore if we consider the normal equations with respect to the Riesz map, i.e.

$$\underline{\underline{A}}^T T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{x}} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T T \underline{\underline{b}},$$

we can rewrite them using a Cholesky factorisation of T, i.e.  $T = C^T C$ .

 $(CA)^T (CA)\underline{x} = (CA)^T C\underline{b},$ 

hence the previous normal equation are associated with the linear system  $CA_{\underline{X}} = C\underline{b}$ .

The normal equations are still symmetric and positive definite. Hence we can solve them using CGNE. The cross-preconditioning idea is still applicable.

The condition number of the normal equations is the square of the condition number of the original system.



We would like to give an intuition on what is the meaning of cross-preconditioning, for the normal equations just introduced.

$$(P^{T}TP)^{-1}A^{T}TA = P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-T}A^{T}TA \sim T^{-1}P^{-T}A^{T}ATP^{-1} = T^{-1}(AP^{-1})^{T}T(AP^{-1}).$$

Hence, we aim to construct  $P^T TP$  in such a way that the matrix  $AP^{-1}$  is close to an orthogonal matrix, with respect to the inner product induced by T, i.e.  $Q^T TQ = T$ .



We would like to give an intuition on what is the meaning of cross-preconditioning, for the normal equations just introduced.

 $(P^{T}TP)^{-1}A^{T}TA = P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-T}A^{T}TA \sim T^{-1}P^{-T}A^{T}ATP^{-1} = T^{-1}(AP^{-1})^{T}T(AP^{-1}).$ 

Hence, we aim to construct  $P^T TP$  in such a way that the matrix  $AP^{-1}$  is close to an orthogonal matrix, with respect to the inner product induced by T, i.e.  $Q^T TQ = T$ .

## **Cross preconditioning**

We say that the preconditioner P is a good **left** preconditioner for the normal equations if it is a good **right** preconditioner for  $\underline{A}$ , in the sense that  $\underline{AP}^{-1}$  has **clustered singular values**, computed with respect to the inner product induced by T.



We can consider as Riesz map the  $H^1$ -Riesz map, i.e.

 $(\nabla Tf, \nabla v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \nu^{-1} \langle f, v_h \rangle, \ \forall v_h \in V_h, f \in V'_h.$ 



We can consider as Riesz map the  $H^1$ -Riesz map, i.e.

$$(\nabla Tf, \nabla v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \nu^{-1} \langle f, v_h \rangle, \ \forall v_h \in V_h, f \in V'_h.$$

Using this Riesz map the normal equations  $\underline{\underline{A}}^T T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T T \underline{\underline{b}}$  is approximating the problem: find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

 $\nu(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu^{-1}(\Pi_{\nabla}\beta u, \Pi_{\nabla}\beta v)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \text{ for any } v \in H^1_0(\Omega).$ 

Oxford Mathematics



We can consider as Riesz map the  $H^1$ -Riesz map, i.e.

$$(\nabla Tf, \nabla v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \nu^{-1} \langle f, v_h \rangle, \ \forall v_h \in V_h, f \in V'_h.$$

ν	32  imes 32	64  imes 64	$128\times128$
$1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	2	2	2
$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3	3	3
$2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	3	3	3
$1.25\cdot10^{-3}$	3	3	3

Table: The CGNE methods were terminated when the absolute residual was less than  $10^{-5}$ .

Using this Riesz map the normal equations  $\underline{\underline{A}}^T T \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^T T \underline{\underline{b}}$  is approximating the problem: find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

 $\nu(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu^{-1}(\Pi_{\nabla}\beta u, \Pi_{\nabla}\beta v)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \text{ for any } v \in H^1_0(\Omega).$ 



Find 
$$u_h \in V_h$$
 such that  $\nu^{-1}(\beta u_h, \beta v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , for any  $v_h \in V_h$ .

ν	$32 \times 32$	64  imes 64	128  imes 128	$256\times256$
$1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	10	15	20	23
$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	11	15	22	30
$2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	17	16	21	32
$1.25\cdot10^{-3}$	26	24	23	30

Table: Comparison of the number of iterations for the CGNE method preconditioned by the inversion via PETSc GAMG, for different values of  $\nu$  and different mesh sizes. The wind is fixed to  $\sqrt{2}\beta = (1,1)$  and as right-hand side we consider the function  $f(x, y) \equiv 1$ . The CGNE method was terminated when the absolute residual was less than  $10^{-5}$ .

Oxford Mathematics



Find  $u_h \in V_h$  such that  $\nu^{-1}(\prod_{\nabla} \beta u_h, \prod_{\nabla} \beta v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , for any  $v_h \in V_h$ .

ν	32 × 32	64  imes 64	128  imes 128
$1\cdot 10^{-2}$	4	5	8
$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	4	5	7
$2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	5	5	7
$1.25 \cdot 10^{-3}$	7	7	7

Table: Comparison of the number of iterations for the CGNE method preconditioned by geometric multigird with SOR smoothing, for different values of  $\nu$  and different mesh sizes. The wind is fixed to  $\sqrt{2}\beta = (1, 1)$  and as right-hand side we consider the function  $f(x, y) \equiv 1$ . The CGNE method was terminated when the absolute residual was less than  $10^{-5}$ .

Oxford Mathematics



The normal equations are a powerful tool to solve linear systems arising from PDEs, for which we have a very good understanding of convergence.



- The normal equations are a powerful tool to solve linear systems arising from PDEs, for which we have a very good understanding of convergence.
- The correct notion of a good preconditioner for the normal equations is crucial to understand how to precondition the normal equations. We propose the notion of cross preconditioning.



- The normal equations are a powerful tool to solve linear systems arising from PDEs, for which we have a very good understanding of convergence.
- The correct notion of a good preconditioner for the normal equations is crucial to understand how to precondition the normal equations. We propose the notion of cross preconditioning.
- A careful study of the normal equations can suggest a new PDE to use as preconditioner. Often these PDEs are simpler to solve than the original ones. We refer to this idea as normal preconditioning.



- The normal equations are a powerful tool to solve linear systems arising from PDEs, for which we have a very good understanding of convergence.
- The correct notion of a good preconditioner for the normal equations is crucial to understand how to precondition the normal equations. We propose the notion of cross preconditioning.
- A careful study of the normal equations can suggest a new PDE to use as preconditioner. Often these PDEs are simpler to solve than the original ones. We refer to this idea as normal preconditioning.
- We should reconsider the use of normal equations for solving linear systems arising from PDEs.



There is an intimate connection between the notion of normal preconditioning and a method known as discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin. We would like to further explore this connection and understand the optimisation problem associated with the normal equations here proposed.



- There is an intimate connection between the notion of normal preconditioning and a method known as discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin. We would like to further explore this connection and understand the optimisation problem associated with the normal equations here proposed.
- Explore the notion of **normal preconditioning** for higher-order finite element discretisation.



- There is an intimate connection between the notion of normal preconditioning and a method known as discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin. We would like to further explore this connection and understand the optimisation problem associated with the normal equations here proposed.
- Explore the notion of **normal preconditioning** for higher-order finite element discretisation.
- Apply normal preconditioning to other PDEs such as the Helmholtz equation, using as Riesz map the T-coercive map. We would also like to study the Oseen equation and C<sup>1</sup> nearly singular problems such as the Helmholtz–Korteweg equation.

# THANK YOU!

Preconditioned normal equations for solving discretised partial differential equations

## https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2502.17626

L. Lazzarino, Y. Nakatsukasa, Umberto Zerbinati\*