Discretisation of the Helmholtz–Korteweg equation

Patrick E. Farrell*,[†], Tim van Beeck⁺, Umberto Zerbinati*

Mathematical Institute – University of Oxford,
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics – Charles University,
Institute for Numerical and Applied Mathematics – University of Göttingen.

Mathematical Modelling, Numerical Analysis and Scientific Computing, Kácov, 18th June 2025

Oxford Mathematics



Mathematical Institute



Liquid crystal are ubiquitous in nature and have a wide range of applications.





Liquid crystal are ubiquitous in nature and have a wide range of applications.

 Liquid crystals displays (LCD), are among the most common applications of liquid crystals.

P. E. Farrell, T. van Beeck, U. Zerbinati

Helmholtz–Korteweg





Liquid crystal are ubiquitous in nature and have a wide range of applications.

- Liquid crystals displays (LCD), are among the most common applications of liquid crystals.
- Liquid crystal configurations can be found in biological systems, such as epithelial cells.

Oxford Mathematics

P. E. Farrell, T. van Beeck, U. Zerbinati

Helmholtz–Korteweg





Liquid crystal are ubiquitous in nature and have a wide range of applications.

- Liquid crystals displays (LCD), are among the most common applications of liquid crystals.
- Liquid crystal configurations can be found in biological systems, such as epithelial cells.

P. E. Farrell, T. van Beeck, U. Zerbinati

Helmholtz–Korteweg



Sound propagation in liquid crystal exhibit anisotropic phenomena.



 Sound propagation in liquid crystal exhibit anisotropic phenomena. M. E. Mullen, B. Lüthi, M. J. Stephen *Sound velocity in a Nematic Liquid Crystal*, Physical Review Letters, 1972.



Figure: Angular dependence of sound velocity. T = 21 C, v = 10 MHz, and H = 5 kOe. θ is the angle between the field direction and propagation direction. Solid line is $12.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \cos(\theta)^2$.

ACOUSTIC IN LIQUID CRYSTALS



- Sound propagation in liquid crystal exhibit anisotropic phenomena.
- Historically the interaction of acoustic waves with the nematic director field was first explained by means of the minimal entropy production principle.

M. E. Mullen, B. Lüthi, M. J. Stephen *Sound velocity in a Nematic Liquid Crystal*, Physical Review Letters, 1972.



Figure: Angular dependence of sound velocity. T = 21 C, v = 10 MHz, and H = 5 kOe. θ is the angle between the field direction and propagation direction. Solid line is $12.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \cos(\theta)^2$.

ACOUSTIC IN LIQUID CRYSTALS

OXFORD Mathematical Institute

- Sound propagation in liquid crystal exhibit anisotropic phenomena.
- Historically the interaction of acoustic waves with the nematic director field was first explained by means of the minimal entropy production principle.
- We here assume the aligning torque acting on the nematic director field is of elastic nature, rather than of a dissipative viscous one. This idea was already proposed, and validated experimentally, by Mullen, Lüthi, and Stephen.

M. E. Mullen, B. Lüthi, M. J. Stephen *Sound velocity in a Nematic Liquid Crystal*, Physical Review Letters, 1972.



Figure: Angular dependence of sound velocity. T = 21 C, v = 10 MHz, and H = 5 kOe. θ is the angle between the field direction and propagation direction. Solid line is $12.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \cos(\theta)^2$.

Helmholtz-Korteweg

OXFORD Mathematical

Farrell, P.E. and ~, 2025. Time-harmonic waves in Korteweg and nematic-Korteweg fluids. Physical Review E, 111(3), p.035413.

A reasonable assumption is that the nematic director field \boldsymbol{n} is regarded as undistorted at the acoustic length scale, so that we can assume $\nabla \boldsymbol{n} = 0$.



Farrell, P.E. and ~, 2025. Time-harmonic waves in Korteweg and nematic-Korteweg fluids. Physical Review E, 111(3), p.035413.

A reasonable assumption is that the nematic director field \boldsymbol{n} is regarded as undistorted at the acoustic length scale, so that we can assume $\nabla \boldsymbol{n} = 0$.

Under this hypothesis the nematic Helmholtz-Korteweg equation can be derived, i.e.

$$-\omega^2 S(\mathbf{x}) - c_0^2 \Delta S(\mathbf{x}) + \rho_0^2 \alpha \Delta^2 S(\mathbf{x}) + \rho_0^2 u_2 \nabla \cdot \nabla \left[\mathbf{n} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{HS}} \mathbf{n} \right] = 0.$$



Farrell, P.E. and ~, 2025. Time-harmonic waves in Korteweg and nematic-Korteweg fluids. Physical Review E, 111(3), p.035413.

A reasonable assumption is that the nematic director field \boldsymbol{n} is regarded as undistorted at the acoustic length scale, so that we can assume $\nabla \boldsymbol{n} = 0$.

Under this hypothesis the nematic Helmholtz-Korteweg equation can be derived, i.e.

$$-\omega^2 S(\mathbf{x}) - c_0^2 \Delta S(\mathbf{x}) + \rho_0^2 \alpha \Delta^2 S(\mathbf{x}) + \rho_0^2 u_2 \nabla \cdot \nabla \left[\mathbf{n} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{HS}} \mathbf{n} \right] = 0.$$

It can be proven that this PDE is compatible with a hyperelastic formulation, i.e. it can be derived from the free energy functional

$$W(\rho, \nabla \rho, \boldsymbol{n}) = c_0^2 \rho^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \|\nabla \rho\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta (\nabla \rho \cdot \boldsymbol{n})^2.$$



We will here discuss three different types of boundary conditions, i.e.



We will here discuss three different types of boundary conditions, i.e.

Sound-soft boundary conditions

Sound-soft boundary conditions impose that the excess-pressure defined as

$$c_0^2 \rho_0 S(\boldsymbol{x}) - \rho_0^3 \alpha \Delta S(\boldsymbol{x}) - u_2 \rho_0^3 \left(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{HS}} \boldsymbol{n} \right) = 0.$$

vanishes along the boundary. Sound-soft boundary conditions thus correspond to imposing homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on S(x) and

$$\Delta S(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{u_2}{\alpha} \left(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{HS}} \boldsymbol{n} \right).$$

Oxford Mathematics



We will here discuss three different types of boundary conditions, i.e.

Sound-hard boundary conditions

Sound-hard boundary conditions also change since the normal derivative of the fluid velocity $\partial_\nu {\bf v}$ now satisfies the equation

$$i\omega\rho_0(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}) = c_0^2\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}S(\boldsymbol{x}) - \rho_0^2\alpha\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\Delta S(\boldsymbol{x}) - \rho_0^2u_2\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\left(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\underline{\mathcal{HS}}\boldsymbol{n}\right).$$

Sound-hard boundary conditions thus correspond to imposing homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions on $S(\mathbf{x})$ and

$$\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \Delta S(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{u_2}{\alpha} \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \left(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{HS}} \boldsymbol{n} \right).$$



We will here discuss three different types of boundary conditions, i.e.

Impedance boundary conditions

Some computation shows that the impedance boundary conditions for the nematic Helmholtz-Korteweg equation are equivalent to imposing Robin boundary conditions on S(x) and

$$\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \Delta S(\boldsymbol{x}) = i\zeta \Delta S(\boldsymbol{x}) + i\zeta \frac{u_2}{\alpha} \left(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{HS}} \boldsymbol{n} \right) - \frac{u_2}{\alpha} \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \left(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{HS}} \boldsymbol{n} \right),$$

where ζ is the impedance of the boundary.



Farrell, P.E., van Beeck, T. and ~., 2025. Analysis and numerical analysis of the Helmholtz–Korteweg equation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.10771.

We want to find $u \in X$ such that

$$a(u,v) = (f,v)_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall v \in X,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{a}(u,v) := \underbrace{\alpha(\Delta u, \Delta v)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \beta(\boldsymbol{n}^{T}(\mathcal{H}u)\boldsymbol{n}, \Delta v)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}_{=:e(u,v)} - k^{2}(u,v)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$$

We only consider sound-soft boundary conditions for which $X = H_0^2(\Omega) := H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ for simplicity and impose the boundary conditions using Nitsch's method at the discrete level.

Oxford Mathematics



 $k = 20, \ \alpha = 10^{-2}$

 $k = 30, \ \alpha = 10^{-2}$



Figure: The convergence of the H^2 -norm of the error for the Helmholtz–Korteweg equation for different values of k (top row) and the corresponding manufactured solution (bottom row).



We demonstrate the anisotropic speed of sound considering as right-hand side a symmetric Gaussian pulse in (0,0), *impedance* BCs, k = 40, $\alpha = 10^{-2}$



P. E. Farrell, T. van Beeck, U. Zerbinati

Helmholtz-Korteweg



We demonstrate the anisotropic speed of sound considering as right-hand side a symmetric Gaussian pulse in (0,0), impedance BCs, k = 40, $\alpha = 10^{-2}$



P. E. Farrell, T. van Beeck, U. Zerbinati

Helmholtz-Korteweg

TOTAL INTERNAL REFLECTION





Figure: An acoustic reflection phenomenon in a nematic Korteweg fluid can be caused by a discontinuity in the nematic director field. We consider a Gaussian beam travelling upwards in a semicircular domain, with two different nematic director fields.

SCATTERING BY A CIRCULAR OBSTACLE





Figure: The scattered wave produced by a circular obstacle in a nematic Korteweg fluid with $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ and $u_2 = 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$, has a greater amplitude when the incoming plane wave is orthogonal to the nematic director field. ξ is the angle between the propagating direction of the plane wave d and n. An adiabatic layer has been used to implement the Sommerfeld radiation condition on the outer bounday.

Oxford Mathematics

THANK YOU!

Discretisation of the Helmholtz-Korteweg equation

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.111.035413 https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2502.17626

PATRICK E. FARRELL^{*,†}, TIM VAN BEECK⁺, UMBERTO ZERBINATI^{*}